


I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole

or First Inventor

Robert E. Higashi

Inventor's Signature



Date 1/6, 2004 RSH

Residence

Shorewood, Hennepin County

Citizenship

US

Post Office Address

20220 Manor Road


Shorewood, Minnesota 55331

Full Name of Second

Joint Inventor, If Any

Khaph O. Nguyen

Inventor's Signature



Date 1/6/, 2004 KON

Residence

Bloomington, Hennepin County

Citizenship

US

Post Office Address

~~1716 W. 88th Street~~ 1716 East 88th street KON


Bloomington, Minnesota 55425

Full Name of Third

Joint Inventor, If Any

Karen M. Newstrom-Peitso

Inventor's Signature



Date 1/6, 2004

Residence

Hopkins, Hennepin County

Citizenship

US

Post Office Address

25 Monroe Avenue South


Hopkins, Minnesota 55343

Full Name of Fourth

Joint Inventor, If Any

<sup>m</sup>  
Tom R. Rezachek

Inventor's Signature



Date JAN 06, 2004

Residence

Cottage Grove, Washington County

Citizenship

US

Post Office Address

6337 - 82nd Street S.


Cottage Grove, Minnesota 55016

Declaration and Power of Attorney  
H0005015-0760 (1100.1237101)

Full Name of Fifth

Joint Inventor, If Any Roland A. Wood

Inventor's Signature



Date 1/6/04, 2007/4

Residence

Bloomington, Hennepin County

Citizenship

US

Post Office Address

150 Mission Lane East

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420

\*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations § 1.56:

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

(2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

(1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or

(2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:

(i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or

(ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

(1) Each inventor named in the application;

(2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

(3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.